IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH JABALPUR .

Misc. Petition No. . . . . 2533 . . . . . 1993.

Petitioner :- FAIZ MOHAMMAD SON OF SHRI MOHAMMAD AMIN AGED ABOUT -28 years , R/O Contingent , Daily rated , Assistant , Pashu Ahar Sanyantra , Durg (M.P.). R/O Subhash Nagar, Near Gokul's Shop Durg Tahsil and Distt.Durg (M.P.).

Versus

RESPONDENTS :- 1. General Manager, Pashu Ahar Sanyantra, Durg (M.P.).

2. Managing Director, M.P. State Co-operative Marketing Federation , Ltd. Head Office- Jahangirabad Bhopal ,

3. The Secretary , M.P. State Co-operative Marketing Federation Ltd. Office- Jahangirabad , Bhopal.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA .

For issue of writs in the mature of certiorari, Mandamus and /or any other appropriate Writ or direction to carry out the same .

...2..

(150)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

Single Bench : **Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

W. P. No.2533 of 1993

Petitioner Faiz Mohammad

Versus

Respondents General Manager, Pashu Ahar Sanyantra, Durg and others.

Shri H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Shri Manish Agrawal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Dr. N.K. Shukla, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Ritu Mishra, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Shri Abhishek Sinha, counsel for respondents No. 2 & 3.

ORDER

(Passed on 7th February, 2007)

The petitioner has filed this writ petition for regularization of his services on the post of Assistant / Accountant (now designated as Assistant Accounts Officer) with all consequential benefits. He has also prayed for affirming the order dated 10-04-2003 (Annexure P/6) by quashing the order dated 26-04-2003 Annexure P/7.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as a daily-wager and in this petition he has prayed for regularization of his services. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner may be permitted to approach the Competent Authority / respondent No.1 for consideration of his case sympathetically.

3. In view of the above, it is directed that the petitioner may appear before the Competent Authority / respondent No.1 on 28-02-2007 and if he so appears, the Competent Authority / respondent No.1 is directed to consider the case of the petitioner sympathetically, in accordance with law and circulars.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

S4534